IN THE UNITED STATES OF DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

CAPITOL RECORDS, INC., *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

JAMMIE THOMAS-RASSET,

Defendant.

Case No.: 06cv1497-MJD/RLE

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE REPLY BRIEFS

Plaintiffs respectfully submit this Unopposed Motion for Extension to File Reply Briefs and state as follows:

1. On June 19, 2009, the Court entered Judgment against Defendant. (Doc. No. 338.)

2. The parties each filed post-trial motions on July 6, 2009. Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Amend Judgment (Doc. No. 342) and Defendant filed a Motion for a New Trial, Remittitur, and to Alter or Amend the Judgment (Doc. No. 344).

3. On July 8, 2009, the Court issued a Briefing Notice (Doc. No. 345), instructing that opposition briefs be filed by July 22, 2009 and replies by July 29, 2009. Based on Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion (Doc. No. 346), the Court entered an Order (Doc. No. 348) amending the briefing schedule to allow the parties to file opposition briefs by August 12, 2009 and replies by August 19, 2009. The Court again extended these deadlines by two days upon Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion (Doc. No. 349) and entered an Order (Doc. No. 351) resetting the deadlines to file opposition briefs by August 14, 2009 and replies by August 21, 2009.

Dockets.Justia.com

4. On August 21, 2009, Plaintiffs filed their opposition brief (Doc. No. 353), Defendant filed her opposition brief (Doc. No. 355)[1], and the United States of America filed an memorandum of law (Doc. No. 352) regarding Defendant's Motion for a New Trial (Doc. No. 344).

5. Plaintiffs now respectfully request a seven-day extension of the deadline to file their reply brief to accommodate certain Plaintiffs' representatives who have been out of the office this week. The brief extension will allow Plaintiffs to finalize their opposition brief and file it by Friday, August 28, 2009.

6. Undersigned counsel for Plaintiffs has conferred with counsel for Defendant regarding this motion. Defendant has no objection to the motion provided that the deadline for replies be extended for all parties.

7. Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully submit that good cause exists for extending the deadline to file reply briefs by seven days to August 28, 2009. No party would be prejudiced were this Court to grant the requested extension.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court extend the deadline to file reply briefs on post-trial motions by seven days, and enter an order resetting this deadline for all parties to August 28, 2009.

A form of order has been sent to chambers for the Court's convenience.

[1] Defendant subsequently filed a corrected opposition brief on August 15, 2009 (Doc. No. 357).

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of August 2009.

/s/ Andrew B. Mohraz
Timothy M. Reynolds (pro hac vice)
David A. Tonini (pro hac vice)
Andrew B. Mohraz (pro hac vice)
HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP
1700 Lincoln, Suite 4100
Denver, Colorado 80203
Telephone: (303) 861-7000
Facsimile: (303) 866-0200

Matthew J. Oppenheim (pro hac vice)
THE OPPENHEIM GROUP, LLP
7304 River Falls Drive
Potomac, Maryland 20854
Telephone: (301) 299-4986
Facsimile: (866) 766-1678

Felicia J. Boyd (No. 186168)
Leita Walker (No. 387095)
FAEGRE & BENSON LLP
2200 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3901
Telephone: (612) 766-7000
Facsimile: (612) 766-1600

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS